


Current Constitution

100 attendees


SAFDA ExCo



4%

Members


96 votes
(96%)




Current Constitution

20 attendees (Quorum)

SAFDA ExCo




Members



New Framework

Individual players no longer get a vote. Only council members vote.




*Exco can add more members to strengthen their voting block even further.

Shift in the balance of power

Best case scenario (5 Provinces in “Good Standing”)

→ WC, EC, KZN, Gauteng, FS




In this scenario, Exco has **more than one third of the vote**. This means they can block any attempt from the members to change the constitution. This is the main reason that we cannot pass the vote and only *then* try to fix it.

*Exco can add more members to strengthen their voting block even further.


*New Proposed Constitution

Likely scenario (3 Provinces in “Good Standing”)

→ WC, KZN, Gauteng in “good standing”




ExCo



In this scenario, Exco needs only one other member to vote with them and they have a majority.

*Exco can add more members to strengthen their voting block even further.

SAFDA ExCo




WFDF
ExCo

= 0 votes

SASCOC
ExCo

= 0 votes

SAFDA ExCo



SASCOC

= 0 votes

**Cricket SA
ExCo**

= 0 votes

Only the chair votes to break a tie.

**SA Rugby
Union
ExCo**

= 0 votes

Only the chair votes to break a tie.

What makes SAFDA so special that ExCo members need to have power over the members from whom they derive their power?

We should not need to trust the people on ExCo, because we don't know who the next Exco will be. We should have trust in the constitution itself.